engineering system and the objects of the automation system.

22. The system as claimed in claim 11, wherein entries in the lists with communication relationships contain sources and drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a triple from an identifier of the device, an identifier of the automation object and an identifier of the input or output.

23. The system as claimed in claim 20, wherein entries in the lists with communication relationships contain sources and drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a triple from an identifier of the device, an identifier of the automation object and an identifier of the input or output.

24. The system as claimed in claim 21, wherein entries in the lists with communication relationships contain sources and drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a triple from an identifier of the device, an identifier of the automation object and an identifier of the input or output. --

<u>REMARKS</u>

Claims 1-24 are now present in this application, with new claims 15-24 being added by the present Preliminary Amendment. It should be noted that the amendments to original claims 1-14 of the present application are non-narrowing amendments, made solely to place the claims in proper form for U.S. practice and not to overcome any prior art or for any other statutory considerations. For example, amendments have been made to broaden the claims; to remove reference numerals in the claims; remove the European phrase "characterized in that"; remove

Docket No.: 3286-0168P

multiple dependencies in the claims; and to place claims in a more recognizable U.S. form, including the use of the transitional phrase "comprising" as well as the phrase "wherein". Further, method claims have been written in a more recognizable U.S. form by including an "ing" verb to begin each clause. Again, all amendments are non-narrowing and have been made solely to place the claims in proper form for U.S. practice and not to overcome any prior art or for any other statutory considerations.

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.125, a substitute specification has been included in lieu of substitute paragraphs in connection with the present Preliminary Amendment. The substitute specification is submitted in clean form, attached hereto, and is accompanied by a marked-up version showing the changes made to the original specification. The changes have been made in an effort to place the specification in better form for U.S. practice. No new matter has been added by these changes to the specification. Further, the substitute specification includes paragraph numbers to facilitate amendment practice as requested by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, an early indication of the allowability of each of claims 1-24 in connection with the present application is earnestly solicited.

New U.S. Patent Application Docket No.: 3286-0168P

COSTICT SECTION

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Donald J. Daley at the telephone

number of the undersigned below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any

additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension

of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By:

Donald J. Daley, Reg. No. 34,313

DJD:kna

P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

MARKED - UP : COPY OF SPECIFICATION

Method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from installations

5

FIELD OF THE INVENTION The invention relates to a method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from installations.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

An automation system of this type is used in particular 10 in the area of automation technology. An automation system of this type generally comprises a multiplicity of individual automation objects, which are frequently highly dependent on the engineering system respectively used.

15

20

25

30

35

At present there are two basic methods in use. first method, the retrieval of the engineering data from the installation is ruled out. Changes to the installation are possible only via the engineering tool. Consequently, the data in the engineering system always reflect the current state and there is no need for information to be reproduced from the installation. This solution has the following disadvantages:

Strong link between runtime and engineering: engineering system must be supplied along with the installation and also be additionally paid for by the customer.

Changes in the installation cannot be reproduced: If there are changes in the installation, for example as a result of a device being exchanged, these changes cannot be automatically reproduced in the engineering system.

organizational High expenditure: engineering data up to date, organizational precautions have to be taken to ensure the way in which changes in the installation are introduced into the engineering system.

15

518 Rec 09/914995 518 Rec 07/PTO 0 7 SEP 2001

04-25-2001 PCT/DE00/00735

DESCPAMD

1999P03133 WO PCT/DE 00/00735

- 2 -

The second approach is based on a disassembly of the runtime code. In this case, the executable code of the runtime objects is analyzed and translated into the engineering counterparts. This solution has the following disadvantages:

- Elaborate method: The analysis of the runtime code is complex and susceptible to errors.
- Implementation-dependent: The implementation of the translation back is strongly dependent on how the translation process is carried out. Changes to the translation process and in particular the code created necessitate adaptation of the implementation of the translating-back process.
- ES information can no longer be produced the certainty: Since runtime code is semantically lower level than the actual engineering information, it be cannot ensured engineering information can be exactly reconstructed.
- 20 In the specialist article Elmqvist, H.: "A Uniform Architecture for Distributed Automation", Advances in Instrumentation and Control, vol. 46, part 2, pages 1599-1608, XP000347589 Research Triangle Park, NC, US, a description is given of an automation system 25 whose objects are programmed in an object- and dataflow-oriented programming language. It uses a graphic programming environment and offers means for creation of dynamically updated process images. The programming language allows an automatic communication 30 between distributed objects.

INVENTION

The problem underlying the invention is that of allowing the information contained in an installation

Printed:04-30-2001 AMENDED SHEET

CY-TUS

SUMMARY

1999P03133 WO PCT/DE 00/00735

- 2a -

to be automatically reproduced in an engineering system and used again there, for example to plan changes in the installation.

This object is achieved by a method and by a system with the features specified in claims 1 and 8, respectively.

In this case, the engineering and runtime objects are described by a uniform object model. As a result, the correspondence between engineering objects and runtime objects can be determined at the object level and no information is lost as a result of the mapping. In addition, a direct communication between engineering and runtime objects can take place, which can be utilized when the method is carried out.

The relationship between an engineering object and its runtime counterpart is described in figure 1. The engineering object ESO has a direct reference, RTO ref, to its

Printed:04-30-2001 AMENDED SHEET

15

30

RTO.

runtime counterpart RTO. This is possible since the runtime objects are available (or become available) at the time of engineering. The runtime object RTO has no direct reference to the associated engineering object.

This is necessary to make it possible for the engineering system and runtime system to be separated. Instead of this, the object RTO contains an identifying designation, ESO type ID, referring to the type of engineering object, ESO type. Consequently, required instances of the ESO type can then be created by the

With respect to a runtime object RTO, the method for the restoration of engineering information proceeds as follows:

- If a runtime object receives the order to retrieve its engineering information, it firstly addresses the type of its engineering object with the order to create a new instance of an engineering object.
- 20 2. In the newly created instance, the runtime object enters a reference to itself and orders the new engineering object to read out its data (that of the runtime object).
- 3. The new engineering object then reads out the information from the runtime object and enters the corresponding engineering information in itself.

BEIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DEAWINGS

The invention is described and explained in more detail below on the basis of the exemplary embodiments represented in the figures, in which:

- figure 1 shows an overview to identify the relationships between engineering objects and runtime objects,
- 35 figure 2 shows a view of an object of an installation by way of example,
 - figure 3 shows an illustration of the creation of device representatives in the engineering,

25

30

35

figure 4 shows a representation of the creation of the automation objects in the device representatives by way of example and

figure 5 shows a layout of the existing communication relationships in the engineering.

DETAILS DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERENT EMBORIMS NIS

The method for the retrieval of engineering information from the installation proceeds in three steps:

Restoration of the device representatives;

the

of

Restoration

Restoration of the representatives of the automation objects in the engineering $\frac{1}{2} a_{-}d$

communication

relationships

between the representatives of the automation objects, A The method is described below for the complete retrieval of the engineering information. 15 However, it equally be used for updating already existing engineering information, i.e. as a delta Hereafter, the overall method is referred to as upload. # In figure 2, the objects involved are listed by way of example. Two automation objects run on each of the two 20

devices RG1 and RG2. The automation objects RAO1 and RAO2 run on RG1, RAO3 and RAO4 run on RG2. Communication connections are symbolized by lines. Thus, altogether two device-internal and two device-interlinking communication relationships exist.

1. Restoration of the device representatives

The beginning of the upload is initiated from software system. This may be an engineering system or any other desired system which requires engineering information. One example of this is a system for parameterizing the installation. For the sake of simplicity, hereafter reference is always made to an engineering system. \mathcal{H} In the first step, all the devices are requested to create their representation in the engineering. For this purpose, each device returns an identifier of the type of its engineering counterpart. The engineering system then creates the corresponding

objects and enters the reference to the actual device in each device representative. By means of the reference, each device representative then reads out the relevant data of "its" device.

Figure 3 illustrates what has just been described. The devices of the installation, here RG1 and RG2, receive the request to upload through the engineering system. They then in each case return the identifiers of the types of the engineering representatives. The 10 engineering system creates the instances G1 and G2 for corresponding types. These then read out relevant engineering information from the devices RG1 and RG2.

15 2. Restoration of the automation objects in the engineering

In the second step, the representatives the automation objects are created in the engineering. the device assigned to it, each device representative requests the automation objects of its device to create 20 its counterparts in the engineering. For this purpose, each automation object returns the identifier of of its engineering representative. engineering system, the corresponding objects are then 25 again created and provided with a reference to their partner in the runtime environment. After that, each automation object in the engineering inquires the relevant data of its partner.

The result of this operation can be seen in figure 4. The representative G1 inquires from the device RG1 the 30 automation objects RAO1 and RAO2. These are then requested to upload by G1 and return the identifiers of types of A01 and A02. Ву means information, the instances AO1 and AO2 are created in 35 the engineering. These then receive a reference to their runtime counterparts RAO1 and RAO2 are finally assigned to the device representative G1. As a result, information on the device assignment

GR 99 P 3133

- 5a -

automation objects is available again. Subsequently, AO1 and AO2 read out the information relevant for engineering from RAO1 and RAO2.

3. Restoration of the communication relationships between the automation objects in the engineering

In the the communication relationships step, between the automation objects are restored. purpose, each device representative asks the device assigned to it for its communication relationships. The device then returns a list with both the deviceinternal and device-interlinking communication relationships. An entry of this list comprises the source and drain of the communication relationship. 10 The source and drain are in each case described by a 3tuple from the identifier of the physical device, the identifier of the automation object and the identifier of the input or output.

15

In the engineering system, the entries of the list are converted into references to the inputs and outputs of the representatives of the automation objects. For this purpose, the information from the already created objects (the references of the engineering representatives to their runtime counterparts) is used. Subsequently, the connection in the engineering system is then set up.

- An efficient way of carrying out the step will ensure that the list with communication connections created by each device only contains those in which the device appears in the identifier of the source (alternatively of the drain). Furthermore, an effective method will buffer-store the relationships between engineering representatives and runtime counterparts set up in steps 1 and 2, in order in this way to minimize the
- Figure 5 then shows the result of the last step. G1 has inquired the communication relationships from RG1. In response, the relationships between RAO1 and RAO2, RAO1 and RAO3 and between RAO2 and RAO4 were returned.

searching effort in step 3.

The connections are then converted in the engineering, for example the connection

between RAO1 and RAO3 is converted to the connection between AO1 and AO3.

Both the objects of the engineering system and of the runtime system are based on the same, executable object The use of the same model makes possible a model. direct interaction at model level (data exchange and between the engineering communication) objects runtime objects. Furthermore, a unique mapping, which is independent of the implementation of the objects, is defined by the defined assignment between engineering and runtime objects.

This gives rise to the following advantages for the method: | method: | but not (united to

- Separation of engineering and runtime possible: Changes do not necessarily have to be carried out with the engineering tool. If need be, the changes can be introduced into the engineering system at any time.
- Simple method: By determining the method at the level of explicit models, the method can be described in general terms and so becomes more reliable.
 - Simple and complete mapping: There is a defined relationship between the runtime and engineering
- objects, making complete restoration of the engineering information possible.
 - Stable with respect to changes in implementation: Implementation of the runtime and engineering objects can be changed over without having any influence on the
- 30 mapping and consequently on the way in which the method is carried out.
 - Non-tool-specific: The upload mechanism can also be used by other tools and not just by the engineering system.

VARIATIONS

04-25-2001

MARKED-UF COPY OF THE CLAIMS 1999P03133 WO PCT/DE 00/00735 What is claimed is? Patent claims (Aneded) A method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from an automation system with a multiplicity of individual automation objects (RAO1..RAO4), in which method, -)for the restoration of representatives (G1, A01..A04) in an engineering system of objects (RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4) of the automation system, Candridge flyn, via [-] the objects (RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4) supply identifying designation of a type respective representative/(G1, G2, A01..A04)/to the engineering system, 1. -)the engineering system (creates) corresponding 15 representatives (G1, G2, A01..A04) \ for designated types and in the case of each of the representatives (G1, G2, A01..A04) enters (a reference to the object (RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4)), of the reference, means 20 representative (G1, G2, A01..A04) (reads jout engineering information from the object RG2, RAO1..RAO4). The method as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that, in a first step for the restoration of device 25 representatives (G1, G2) in the engineering system further con devices ((RG1, RG2) on which the objects ((RAO1..RAO4)) run supply an identifying designation of a type of $\int \overline{\text{their}}$ respective device 30 representative / (G1, the engineering system,

engineering system [creates | corresponding

ПG1,

G2)/

for

PCT/DE00/00735

Printed:04-30-2001 AMENDED SHEET

representatives

device

04-25-2001 1999P03133 WO PCT/DE 00/00735

> designated types and in the case of each of the representatives ΠG1, G2) reference to the device (RG1, RG2) cad of7 the reference, each device representative / (G1, G2) reads jout engineering information from the device (RG1, RG2) and,

a second step for the restoration of representatives (AO1..AO4) of the automation objects ((RAO1..RAO4) in the engineering system, the method further comprises.

the automation objects [[RA01..RA04] identifying designation ((ESO type ID) η of a type (ESO type) of their respective representative (A01..A04) to the engineering system,

engineering system, (creates) corresponding 15 (A01..A04) representatives for the designated and , (in the case of/ representatives (A01..A04) enters a reference to the automation object (RAO1..RAO4)

means 20 representative (A01..A04) reads out engineering information from the automation object (RAO1..RAO4)/.

The method as claimed in claim 2,\(\) characterized in 25 in a third step for the restoration of communication relationships between the representatives (A01..A04) of the automation objects [(RAO1..RAO4) (in the engineering system, the method fother com-

(RGI, lists devices RG2) supply (communication relationships to the engineering system,

(-)in the engineering system, entries of the lists converted / into references to inputs

10

15

20

25

30

1999P03133 WO PCT/DE 00/00735

- 10 -

outputs of the representatives (A01..A04) of the automation objects (RAO1..RAO4) subsequently, corresponding connections are set up in the engineering system.

The method as claimed in one of the preceding claims, characterized in that both the objects of the engineering system (G1, G2, A01..A04) and the objects ((RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4)) of the automation system are described by a uniform, executable object model and a direct communication at model is possible between the objects of the engineering system (G1, G2, A01..A04) and objects ((RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4)) of the automation system.

claimed in claim method as characterized in that Tentries in the lists with communication relationships contain sources drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a [3-tuple] Trom an identifier of the device (RG1, an identifier of the automation object (RAO1..RAO4) and an identifier of the input or

output. claim!, wherein

The method as claimed in one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the objects (RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4) of the automation system have no direct reference to the associated objects of the engineering system (G1, G2, A01..A04), to make it possible for the engineering system and automation system to be separated.

Printed:04-30-2001 AMENDED SHEET

10

15

20

25

30

04-25-2001 1999P03133 WO PCT/DE 00/00735

PCT/DE00/00735

CLMSPAMD

- 11 - claim, wherein

7. The method as claimed in one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the method is used for the updating of already existing engineering information as a delta method.

8. A system for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from an automation system with a multiplicity of individual automation objects [(RAO1..RAO4), in which,

A01..A04) in an engineering system of objects

(RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04) of the automation system, Company

(the) objects (RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04) contain an identifying designation of a type of their respective representative (G1, G2, A01..A04) for being supplied to the engineering system, (Automation of System)

(-) the engineering system [contains] means for creating representatives (G1, G2, A01..A04)] for the designated types and means for entering in the case of each of the representatives (G1, G2, A01..A04)] a reference to the object (RG1,

RG2, RAO1..RAO4), and the reading out of engineering information from the object (RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4) by each representative (G1, G2, AO1..AO4).

9. The system as claimed in claim 8, Characterized in that, for the restoration of device representatives (G1, G2) in the engineering system,

objects (RAO1..RAO4) run contain identifying

Printed:04-30-2001 AMENDED SHEET

04-25-2001

10

15

COSTTO SECTION

20

25

30 10 Am

designation of a type of their respective device representative (G1, G2) for being supplied to the engineering system,

the engineering system contains means for creating device representatives (G1, G2) for the designated types and means for entering in the case of each of the device representatives (G1, G2) a reference to the device (RG1, RG2)

of engineering information from the device (RG1, RG2) by each device representative (G1, G2) and in that, for the restoration of representatives

(AO1..AO4) of the automation objects (RAO1..RAO4) in the engineering system,

(-)the automation objects (RAO1..RAO4) contain an identifying designation (ESO type ID) of a type (ESO type) of their respective representative (AO1..AO4) for being supplied to the engineering system,

the engineering system contains means for creating representatives (AO1..AO4) for the designated types and means for entering in the case of each of the representatives (AO1..AO4) a reference to the automation object (RAO1..RAO4),

of engineering information from the automation object (RAO1..RAO4) by each representative (AQ1..AO4).

The system as claimed in claim 9, characterized in that for the restoration of communication relationships between the representatives (A01..A04) of the automation objects (RA01..RA04)

10

15

20

25

30

1999P03133 WO PCT/DE 00/00735

- 13 -

in the engineering system,

the devices (RG1, RG2) contain lists with communication relationships for being supplied to the engineering system and

(-)the engineering system contains means for converting entries of the lists into references to inputs and outputs of the representatives (AO1..AO4) of the automation objects (RAO1..RAO4) and means for setting up the corresponding connections in the engineering system.

11. The system as claimed in one of claims 8 to 10, Characterized in that both the objects of the engineering system (G1, G2, A01..A04) and the objects (RG1, RG2, RA01.. RA04) of the automation system are described by a uniform, executable object model and a direct communication at model level is provided between the objects of the engineering system (G1, G2, A01..A04) and the objects (RG1, RG2, RA01.. RA04) of the automation system.

12. The system as claimed in claim 10 or 11, characterized in that entries in the lists with communication relationships contain sources and drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a 3-tuple from an identifier of the device (RG1, RG2), an identifier of the automation object (RAO1.RAO4) and an identifier of the input or output.

13. The system as claimed in one of claims 8 to 12,

Printed:04-30-2001 AMENDED SHEET

04-25-2001 1999P03133 WO PCT/DE 00/00735

> Characterized that objects (RG1, in RAO1..RAO4) of the automation system have no direct the associated objects reference to engineering system (G1, G2, A01..A04), to make it possible for the engineering system and automation system to be separated.

14. The system as claimed in one of claims 8 to 13, characterized in that the system is used for the updating already existing engineering information.

New claims? 15: Some as 4, but depor 2 16. Same as 4, but dep on 3 17. some as 5, but dep on 4 see as 5, but depon 15 sneass, but depon 16 20. 24 11 12 22. 12 20 23. 24. 12 21

GR 99 P 3133

MARKED-UP VERSION OF THE ABSTRACT

Abstract

Method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from installations

The invention relates to a method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from installations. The engineering and runtime objects are described by a uniform object model. This allows the correspondence between engineering objects and runtime objects to be determined at object level and no information is lost as a result of the mapping. In addition, a direct communication between engineering and runtime objects can take place, which can be utilized when the method is carried out.

Figure 1